

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
CENTRAL**

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 09/18/2020

TIME: 09:00:00 AM

DEPT: C-73

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Joel R. Wohlfeil

CLERK: Andrea Taylor

REPORTER/ERM: Not Requested

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: R. Camberos

CASE NO: **37-2020-00009732-CU-BT-CTL** CASE INIT.DATE: 02/21/2020

CASE TITLE: **Metrisin vs Advance Magazine Publishers Inc [E-FILE]**

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: Business Tort

EVENT TYPE: Motion Hearing to Certify/Decertify Class Action

MOVING PARTY: Jennifer Fite, Saul Granillo, Dawn Metrisin

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED: Motion - Other unopposed for preliminary approval of class action settlement, 08/24/2020

APPEARANCES

Zach P Dostart, counsel, present for Plaintiff(s) via remote video conference.

Advance Magazine Publishers Inc, self represented Defendant, present via remote video conference.

Kristen Rodriguez, counsel appears remotely by video appearance for Advance.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, this hearing will be conducted remotely. Absent an order of the court, personal appearances at the hearing will not be allowed. For information on arranging telephonic or video appearances, contact CourtCall at (888)882-6878, or at www.courtcall.com.

The Court confirms the tentative ruling as follows:The Motion (ROA # 17) of Plaintiffs Saul Granillo and Jennifer Fite ("Plaintiffs") for an order granting preliminary approval of the proposed class action settlement with Defendant ADVANCE MAGAZINE PUBLISHERS INC. ("Defendant"), is GRANTED.

The Court finds that the terms and conditions of the proposed settlement are fair, adequate, and reasonable.

The Court will sign the [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE (ROA # 20), as completed, at the hearing of this Motion.

The case management conference set for 12/4/2020 is vacated.

Court sets the Final Approval Hearing for 1/13/2021 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 73 and signs the order provided.

Moving party to serve notice of the Court's ruling.